Class Time: Monday 6:00-9:00
Email: davidgr@rutgers.edu
Office Hours: Mon. 10:00 a.m.-12 p.m.
Course No.: 16:510:571:01

Room: Van Dyck 011
Phone: (646) 504-5071
Office: 106 DeWitt, 185 College Ave.

Syllabus

Description. This course is designed to introduce graduate students to the practice of conducting research in U.S. history and turning that research into written work. Students may choose a topic in any area they wish, although the focus of the reading will be on post-World War II American political history, and my own ability to give advice on subjects far afield from my own expertise may be limited. The course emphasizes the development of skills that students will need as professional scholars, including oral and written presentation, critiquing the work of others, and engaging in spontaneous debate about historical matters.

This seminar is relatively light on reading and heavy on research and writing. The course will take students through various steps of the research: choosing a topic; surveying and critiquing the literature; identifying useful primary sources; writing drafts; responding to criticisms; revising. Although it would probably be too ambitious to expect everyone’s term paper to be publishable, it is my hope that some of them will be and that others will constitute viable drafts that can be made publishable with some additional revision. Students may also choose to think of their final paper as a draft of a dissertation proposal.

The subject matter, recent U.S. history, serves primarily as an organizing theme for the class. Since both the readings and the majority of students’ papers will fall into this area, it should be possible for our conversations to deal substantively with the historical problems of the postwar period as well as with the challenges of research and writing.

Course Requirements.

- Regular attendance. This course meets only three hours a week. Arriving on time and staying for the duration is essential. Students may miss one class during the semester, no questions asked. Students who miss more than one class—or substantial portions of more than one class—will be penalized one third of a letter grade for each class missed, even if they notify the professor in advance. (The scale includes minuses, even though Rutgers does not allow such grades. e.g., if you are on pace to earn an A, and you have two unexcused absences, you will earn a B+.) In case of severe illness or other extraordinary events, documentation must be provided. And to be clear: “Severe illness” does not refer to a bad cold or the flu. It refers to something like meningitis or a car accident.

- Active participation. One central purpose of a seminar like this is to teach students to form their own ideas and share them with their peers. The very work of the course consists of engaging in a discussion of ideas. Students who abstain from discussion are missing the course’s whole purpose. A class in which a student doesn’t contribute to
discussion is equivalent to a missed class. *If you do not like to participate, this is probably not the right class for you.*

- **Reading.** You are expected to finish all of the assigned reading.

- **Writing Assignments.** The course requires a lot of writing, most of which will be in the service of your research paper. Some essays will be critiques of your peers’ work. The assignments are as follows:

  1. One-paragraph statement of topic. Due Feb. 2.
  3. Comments on a peer’s statement & bibliography. 2 pages. Due Feb. 16.
  5. Comments on a peer’s historiographic essay. 2 pages. Due Mar. 2.
  7. Comments on a peer’s proposal. 2 pages. Due Mar. 30.

Please note that it is perfectly fine—indeed, preferred—to submit papers of the minimum length.

The final paper will be weighted most heavily. The historiographic essay will also be graded. The other assignments are required but will not assigned individual grades.

- **Presentation.** Each week, one student will give a 15-minute presentation on a different topic relevant to that week’s subject. There are 11 topics in all. If the class has more than 11 students, there will be some weeks on which two students will presents. If the class has significantly fewer than 11 students, then each student will present twice.

**Additional Rules and Information.** Some of these rules should go without saying, especially for graduate students. But every time I remove them from my syllabus, I wind up with a student who seems to be in need of their elucidation. So they remain.

- Cell phones must be turned off upon entering the classroom and may not be used in the classroom or during class time.

- Laptops may be used for note-taking only. No emailing or Web-surfing during class.

- Students must show up on time and stay for the duration of the class. During class, students should not engage in personal conversations, read newspapers, do crossword puzzles, or undertake other personal diversions unrelated to class activity.

- I will return all emails, usually on a first-come, first-served basis. Do not assume that I have received your email. Sometimes it gets stuck in a spam folder. If I don’t reply within 48 hours, please follow up with a phone call. If it’s urgent, please call me.

- We will be using Sakai for the class. Go to https://sakai.rutgers.edu/portal and log in using your Rutgers ID and password. On the site I will post announcements, assignments, readings, and so on.

**Academic Integrity.**
Plagiarism and cheating are, of course, forbidden, according to Rutgers University policy. Your are responsible for reviewing and obeying these policies. A lengthy statement of the policy is at http://academicintegrity.rutgers.edu/integrity.shtml.

On plagiarism, this statement (from history.rutgers.edu/undergrad/plagiarism.htm) appears in Rutgers University’s rules. Like all such rules, it applies to this class.

Plagiarism is the representation of the words or ideas of another as one’s own in any academic exercise. To avoid plagiarism, every direct quotation must be identified by quotation marks or by appropriate indentation and must be promptly cited in the text or in a footnote. Acknowledgment is required when material from another source is stored in print, electronic, or other medium and is paraphrased or summarized in whole or in part in one’s words. To acknowledge a paraphrase properly, one might state: “to paraphrase Plato’s comment ...” and conclude with a footnote identifying the exact reference. A footnote acknowledging only a directly quoted statement does not suffice to notify the reader of any preceding or succeeding paraphrased material. Information which is common knowledge, such as names of leaders of prominent nations, basic scientific laws, etc., need not be footnoted; however, all facts or information obtained in reading or research that are not common knowledge among students in the course must be acknowledged. In addition to materials specifically cited in the text, only materials that contribute to one’s general understanding of the subject may be acknowledged in the bibliography. Plagiarism can, in some cases, be a subtle issue. Any questions about what constitutes plagiarism should be discussed with the faculty member.

Weekly Assignments.
Articles and book chapters are available online on the class website at the Sakai site. There are no assigned books.

Jan. 26: Introduction

Feb. 2: Making Sense of Postwar America

Due: One-paragraph statement of topic.
Presentation: “How I chose my topic.”
Discussion: 1st half: Contours of recent American history
2nd half: Your topics.

Readings:

Feb. 9: The Art of the Review Essay

Due: Bibliography of secondary sources.
Presentation: “How I assembled my bibliography.”
Discussion: 1st half: Assembling the bibliography  
2nd half: Art of the review essay.

Readings:

Feb. 16: New Angles of Vision

Due: Comments on someone else’s one statement & bibliography.
Presentation: “What makes a good review essay.”
Discussion: 1st half: Readings  
2nd half: Art of the review essay.

Readings:

Feb. 23: No Class/Work on Essays

Due: Historiographic Essay (via Sakai or email).

Mar. 2: Clashing Interpretations

Due: Comments on someone else’s historiographic essay.
Presentation: “How I decided a senior scholar was wrong.”
Discussion: Positioning oneself in a historiographical dispute.

Readings:

Mar. 9: Research Challenges I

Due: One-page list of primary sources.
Presentation: “How I found my primary sources.”
Discussion: Finding primary sources.
Readings:
- Dobson & Ziemann, *Reading Primary Sources: The Interpretation of Texts from Nineteenth- and Twentieth-Century History*. Routledge, 2009, Chapters 3 (letters), 6 (opinion polls), 7 (memoranda), and 11 (newspapers)
- Martha Howell & Prevenier, *From Reliable Sources: An Introduction to Historical Methods*. Cornell University Press, 2009, Chapters I, II (part B only), III.

**Mar. 17**  
**SPRING BREAK**

Seek out primary sources.

**Mar. 23:**  
**Research Challenges II: The Internet and Sources**

**Due:** Proposal.

**Presentation:** “My research challenge.”

**Discussion:**  
1st half: Readings  
2nd half: Proposals.

**Readings:**

**Mar. 30:**  
**Narrative and Analysis**

**Due:** Comments on someone else’s proposal.

**Presentation:** “Why I Write—or Don’t Write—in Narrative Form.”

**Discussion:** Narrative and analysis.

**Readings:**

**Apr. 6:**  
**Meeting with Alexander Research Librarian**

**Apr. 13:**  
**The Audience**
Due: One-page commentary on about a book.
Presentation: “A Work of Popular History I Like (or Hate)”
Discussion: Who is your audience?

Readings:

**Apr. 20:** Writing Challenges
Due: One-page commentary on a writing challenge.
Presentation: “My writing challenge.”
Discussion: *1st half:* Writing well
*2nd half:* Progress reports.

Readings:

**Apr. 27:** Progress Discussions

**May 4:** Was It Worth It?
Due: Papers.
Presentation: “Here’s my paper. Can I go now?”
Discussion: Papers.